

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>		<b>Application No.</b> 10/554,620	<b>Applicant(s)</b> UMEDA, AKIRA
		<b>Examiner</b> Thomas P. Noland	<b>Art Unit</b> 2856
<b>All Participants:</b>		<b>Status of Application:</b> _____	
(1) <u>Thomas P. Noland.</u>		(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Mr. Zachary S. Stern.</u>		(4) _____.	
<b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>25 March 2009</u>		<b>Time:</b> _____	
<b>Type of Interview:</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic			
<input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference			
<input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No			
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____			
<b>Part I.</b>			
Rejection(s) discussed: <i>none</i>			
Claims discussed: <i>19</i>			
Prior art documents discussed: <i>none</i>			
<b>Part II.</b>			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>See Continuation Sheet</i>			
<b>Part III.</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
/Thomas P. Noland/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2856			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner left voice mail message suggesting to amend claim 19 by repacing it with:

- - - A device according to claim 1 wherein the inertia sensor has been previously calibrated therewith. - - -

Attorney responded on March 30, 2009 with an additional time to consider request but by then upon further reflection the examiner believed the other claims initially thought in allowable form may not be in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 112. Claim 19 as presently presented appeared possibly not to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112(1 and 2) and 37 CFR 1.75(c) which was why the amendment was suggested.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom Noland whose telephone number is (571) 272-2202. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 9:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Hezron E. Williams, can be reached on (571) 272-2208.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to 2800 Customer Service at (571) 272-2815.

**/Thomas P. Noland/  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2856**

**March 30, 2009**